UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

Criminal Action
No. 13-10200-GAO

DZHOKHAR A. TSARNAEV, also
known as Jahar Tsarni,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GEORGE A. O'TOOLE, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

LOBBY CONFERENCE

John J. Moakley United States Courthouse
Courtroom No. 9
One Courthouse Way
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
Tuesday, March 31, 2015
2:57 p.m.

Marcia G. Patrisso, RMR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse
One Courthouse Way, Room 3510
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
(617) 737-8728

Mechanical Steno - Computer-Aided Transcript

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
          OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
 2
          By: William D. Weinreb, Aloke Chakravarty and
 3
              Nadine Pellegrini, Assistant U.S. Attorneys
          John Joseph Moakley Federal Courthouse
          Suite 9200
 4
          Boston, Massachusetts 02210
 5
          - and -
          UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 6
          By: Steven D. Mellin, Assistant U.S. Attorney
          Capital Case Section
 7
          1331 F Street, N.W.
          Washington, D.C. 20530
 8
          On Behalf of the Government
          FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER OFFICE
 9
          By: Miriam Conrad, William W. Fick and Timothy G. Watkins,
10
              Federal Public Defenders
          51 Sleeper Street
          Fifth Floor
11
          Boston, Massachusetts 02210
          - and -
12
          CLARKE & RICE, APC
13
          By: Judy Clarke, Esq.
          1010 Second Avenue
14
          Suite 1800
          San Diego, California
15
          - and -
          LAW OFFICE OF DAVID I. BRUCK
16
          By: David I. Bruck, Esq.
          220 Sydney Lewis Hall
          Lexington, Virginia 24450
17
          On Behalf of the Defendant
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 PROCEEDINGS THE COURT: So I don't know if anybody wants to do 2 3 more briefing on the issue we were just dealing with. MR. WEINREB: Yeah. Well, we will be briefing a Rule 4 5 29 motion as a whole. 6 THE COURT: Okay. And it will be within that, perhaps? Although -- well, okay. Anybody can submit whatever 7 8 they want on the issues. 9 MR. WATKINS: Be careful what you wish for. THE COURT: It should be by, if we could, the end of 10 11 the week so that we could deal with it before Monday. 12 MR. WEINREB: Sure. 13 THE COURT: I don't know -- the government had filed a 14 motion in limine with respect to the penalty phase evidence last week sometime, and there's a response. Has it arrived or 15 is it coming. 16 17 MS. CLARKE: It's ready. 18 MR. WATKINS: Oh, that was due at ten o'clock today. 19 It is done. It is ready. 20 THE COURT: Okay. As soon as you can get it to us. 21 MR. WATKINS: Sorry. 22 THE COURT: That timing is not as crucial as other things because that's off a little bit in the future, so. But 23 24 we would like to start thinking about those issues. Now, I

don't know if you've talked with Paul about the JERS project.

25

```
1
              THE CLERK: I've been on them, Judge. Hopefully
 2
     within the next few days we'll get the CDs.
 3
              THE COURT: And everybody has to -- so all of the
     accounting has to be done, so everybody --
 4
 5
              THE CLERK: We're working on that as well, Judge.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. And I don't know whether -- so
 7
     there's a question about the physical exhibits. You know, in a
 8
     case like this where there's zillions of them, we don't
     typically, obviously, load them into the jury room. They
 9
10
     should be available for inspection by the jury. I don't know
11
     how -- my thought is to find a jury room back here that is of
     comparable size so that they could all fit in there somehow. I
12
13
     don't know whether the parties could agree to some kind of
14
     quide to be present to help them find things. I mean, they
15
     can't just go rooting through boxes. I don't know. That's a
     little unorthodox.
16
              MS. CLARKE: Well, if you put them out in order, then
17
18
     they can find them.
19
              THE COURT: How many -- anybody have any idea of how
20
    many physical exhibits there are?
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: At least 700, your Honor.
21
22
              MS. CONRAD: 700 physical as opposed to just
23
    photographs?
24
              THE COURT: In evidence?
25
              MR. WEINREB: All of these boxes went in, and many of
```

the boxes contain little exhibits. We could have them in the courtroom if the marshals are going to keep it secure, and then if they ask for any particular exhibit, we can find it for them and it could be brought in. Or if they just want to see them all, we could send them in 20 by 20 or 50 by 50. We could have somebody available to do that.

THE COURT: Well, that's something to think about, I guess, for people. They should be able to see them if they wish.

Just on physical exhibits, I think there was one thing we left undone, which is whether the pressure cooker exemplars would be admitted formally as exhibits. I think it's best they're not. So we'll just leave that.

MR. WATKINS: May I raise one more thing? On exhibits, there is this -- actually, two D exhibits which will not go in on JERS, as I understand it. So I'm interested to know how we intend to put those into the jury room.

THE COURT: What we've done in the past with digital evidence that isn't compatible with JERS, to have a clean computer that has only that on it, and they can have that.

MR. WATKINS: My concern now is what it's always been, that that highlights a specific kind of evidence where that interactive exhibit is going to be there for the jury to --

THE COURT: JERS is interactive.

MR. WATKINS: Yes, but this highlights one specific

```
1
     class of exhibits that in a way is favorable to the government.
 2
              THE COURT: Well, I just think it's controlled by the
 3
    way it exists.
              MS. CONRAD: On the 2D exhibit, in light of the Court
 4
 5
     instructing them, the jury not to disregard the label on 410
    Norfolk Street as the residence of Tamerlan and Jahar Tsarnaev,
 7
     can that be redacted before it goes back to the jury?
 8
              THE COURT: This is the heading? Yeah, that should
 9
    be.
10
              MR. WEINREB: We'll figure out a way to do that.
11
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: We'll try to do that.
              MS. CONRAD: I'm not sure if all of the pieces of that
12
     actually went in; for example, the path portion.
13
14
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: The paths went in.
15
              MS. CONRAD: I don't know if that was actually
16
     admitted as an exhibit as opposed to a chalk. I think the maps
     of Boylston Street were, I don't know the animation of the
17
18
    path --
19
              THE COURT: I think it did, but that's just a
20
     recollection.
              MR. WEINREB: We can check. We would have to check
21
22
     the transcript of Tony Imel's testimony because --
23
              MS. CONRAD: Because he basically agreed that it was
24
     not accurate, so, in terms of them walking side by side.
25
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: The testimony, I think --
```

```
1
              MR. WEINREB: I think the testimony will speak for
     itself. I don't think we did agree that it was not accurate.
 2
 3
     It may be not photo realistic.
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: There's one issue on the 2D.
                                                              There
 4
 5
     were two, I think, overlays that were not testified to at
 6
             So as we had discussed, we will excise those.
 7
              MS. CONRAD: So basically we'd like to see the 2D
 8
    before it goes in.
 9
              THE COURT: Of course.
10
              MR. WEINREB: Is the clean computer something the
     Court supplies or the government supplies?
11
12
              THE COURT: In the past the government has.
13
              THE CLERK: The government.
14
              MS. CONRAD: With respect to having people -- and we
15
     can talk about this. If it's a representative of the
    prosecution team who's there to retrieve exhibits for the jury,
16
     I think there certainly should be someone from the defense.
17
18
     shouldn't basically have ex parte contact even if it's through
19
     a court officer.
20
              MR. WEINREB: Okay. I was just going to say we
21
     wouldn't be the ones bringing it in, obviously.
22
              THE CLERK: What's that?
23
              THE COURT: No, no, we're talking about a guide,
24
     somebody who's familiar enough with them to be able to point
25
     them -- the security officer can be with them to protect the
```

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

integrity of the process, obviously, but they don't know anything about the exhibits, so they're no help in terms of finding things. The question is whether it would be useful to have a live person who could be that museum guide to tell people --MR. WEINREB: All the exhibits do have exhibit stickers on them. THE COURT: Maybe that's enough. If you put them in big boxes and say "Exhibits 1 through 100," you know, "101 through 200." MR. WEINREB: If we took -- I don't know if the Court has other business to do in other cases in the meantime, but if not, we could reassemble the tables in the way they were during voir dire, and that would be a very big space where things could be laid out for the jurors to examine --THE COURT: I'll think about that. I'll think about that. At least we've scheduled Fridays, so we do have other things. We'll have to think a little bit about how we handle alternate jurors once they've been separated. I'm just -- I just had that thought today, so I hadn't really thought it through. Just a couple of observations: I don't know that it would be a good idea to have six of them sitting around the table in the same room, becoming a six-person jury in absentia. So if you want, I'll just leave them to their lives until

```
1
     they're needed. They'll be needed -- if there's a second
    phase, they'll come back and join us for the evidence. Anyway,
 2
     it's just something to think about, how to handle them.
 3
              MR. WEINREB: We'll give that some thought too.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: And obviously, for a second phase they
 6
     will know who they are. That's unavoidable, I guess. If they
 7
     don't already.
 8
              I think that's my list for now. As a practical
 9
     matter, we'll probably not begin in the courtroom until
10
     something like ten o'clock on Monday. We'll get the jurors
11
     here as usual, but there will probably be some things we'll
12
     have to deal with on Monday morning.
13
              How long do you think your arguments will be?
14
     this will be --
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: An hour and a half for us.
15
              THE COURT: This will be in the traditional form:
16
     Government, defense, rebuttal, right? Does that hold on the
17
18
     second phase?
19
              MR. WEINREB: Yes.
20
              THE COURT: The same?
21
              MR. WEINREB: It does.
22
              THE COURT: Okay.
23
              MR. WATKINS: As far as the length of time?
24
              THE COURT: No, no, no, no. Order. So hour and a
25
     half?
```

MR. CHAKRAVARTY: I think that's generous, but yes.

MS. CLARKE: Not that long.

THE COURT: Not this time anyway. Okay.

MR. WEINREB: Your Honor, we'd ask for a date on which the parties can exchange their actual witness lineups with associated exhibits like we've had in the guilt phase. I think this is going to be a much more compressed phase. I doubt the government will be even an entire week, going nine to four.

THE COURT: Really?

MR. WEINREB: Yeah, I think four days seems likely. I mean, one can never know for sure. And so -- and during that time we're obviously going to be very busy with putting on those witnesses, so we need the defense's lineup and their exhibit list before the whole process starts. And I know that the beginning -- if there is a second phase, the date that begins is still a little uncertain, but it's very possible the parties will have motions in limine with respect to each other's exhibits, and it would be useful if we had some time in advance to file those for the Court to consider them.

MS. CLARKE: We'll do our best. I mean, the government already knows some of our penalty phase by virtue of what we noticed for guilt phase and pulled, so they're laid out already. I know that they get very nervous about this but...

THE COURT: Yeah. So, you know, obviously you never know how long a jury will take in its deliberations. If they

were to complete sometime within the week next week, if they begin, if they finish by the end of the week we could be looking at the beginning of the next week for starting even with some time built in to get everybody organized. But if it wasn't Monday, it would be Wednesday or whatever. I'd like to, you know, move it along whenever, but we won't know that until we know how long it takes them to do the first part of it.

So we'll be flexible but, you know, within five days of their verdict we'd be underway on the second round.

MS. CLARKE: We're very concerned with the timing of our putting on the penalty phase on Patriots' Day and the day of the marathon and that time around. I mean, there's already been a declaration of a One Boston Day, the 15th, there's Patriots' Day and the marathon running. And we're very concerned about how to raise that issue with the Court and seek some accommodation which alleviates the obvious prejudice.

THE COURT: Okay. I --

MS. CLARKE: Just to give you a --

THE COURT: I hear you. I'll consider what you want to propose. I think I've said this before: I'm not as concerned. I think this jury is now so immersed in the details of this case that they're unlikely to be influenced much by outside information, but I'll hear you on it.

MR. WEINREB: Well, we would then propose that the parties exchange witness lists and associated exhibits by

```
1
     Friday of next week. It seems like that would be --
 2
              THE COURT: That sounds fine. Which is the 10th, I
 3
     guess.
              MR. WATKINS: So the government would be the Friday
 4
 5
    before they're going to begin on Monday in the penalty phase?
     Your witness list to us.
 7
              MR. WEINREB: Oh, I thought we were saying there would
 8
    be five days between them.
 9
              THE COURT: That was just a marker.
10
              MR. WATKINS: Well --
11
              MS. CONRAD: But still you're saying --
              THE COURT: It could be. Why don't we hold off on it.
12
13
     Let's just see what happens the beginning of next week, see how
14
     things are going.
15
              I quess that's it for now.
              MR. WEINREB: I guess I have one thing that I would
16
     just put on the record in this setting. With respect to the
17
     defense's efforts to obtain parole for foreign witnesses, since
18
19
     the Court's order was filed, we had some discussions back and
     forth. The last we heard, the defense hadn't contacted ICE,
20
21
     who they were working with directly, and we haven't heard
22
     anything about it since.
23
              I'm assuming no news is good news, at least from the
24
    perspective of our involvement. And I just want that -- I just
25
     want to make a record of that.
```

```
MR. WATKINS: We left the wrong guy behind here.
 1
 2
              MS. CLARKE: We'll raise it with the judge if we have
 3
     a concern, or with you. I don't know what else to say.
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. I would like to have defense
     counsel stay for just a moment on 12.2.
 5
              (The government is excused and the proceedings
 6
 7
     concluded at 3:12 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I, Marcia G. Patrisso, RMR, CRR, Official Reporter of the United States District Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript constitutes, to the best of my skill and ability, a true and accurate transcription of my stenotype notes taken in the matter of Criminal Action No. 13-10200-GAO, United States of America v. Dzhokhar A. Tsarnaev. /s/ Marcia G. Patrisso MARCIA G. PATRISSO, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter Date: 10/28/15